United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				·		
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,709	10/810,709 03/29/2004		Hirotaka Fukushima	ED-US020437	9049	
22919	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER		
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700				RODRIGUEZ, SAUL		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3681		
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/810,709	FUKUSHIMA, HIROTAKA			
Examiner	Art Unit	_		
Saúl J. Rodríguez	3681			

	Saúl J. Rodríguez	3681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecanse
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	•
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>11-38</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		14. 6 11	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		۸	
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Saúl J. Rodriguez Primary Examiner	14/06
		Art Unit: 3681	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed March 7, 2006 with respect to claims 1-10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The prior art (JP '928) discloses a removable support member (left side of Fig. 2;) that comprises an inner annular portion (at the rightmost portion of 2) that supports at its inner radial periphery the damper mechanism both axially and radially. Also, the support member includes a radially outward extending portion (adjacent the end of the arrow for reference numeral 29), and an axially extending portion (denoted by reference numeral 46). Therefore, it is believed that the prior art anticipated the limitations of claims 1-10. Regarding applicant's argument regarding claims 11-38, applicant's argumentshave been fully considered and are persuasive. Of particular significance are the limitations of the first and second member supporting both ends of the first spring. Also, the detachable relationship of the axially extending portions with the first member is thought to be of patentable significance. Then, the prior art rejection of those claims has been withdrawn..